

1897-17

Santhampton County Chancery

Jayner, Sinclair's
Est

vs Jayner, Mary & etc

"
To invest infants
sum in purchase of
a home for them"

Maure, R. B. Colne.
Est

Jayner, Sinclair

Mitchell, Laura L.

Mitchell, John

Williams, J. W.

Jayner, Mary

Jayner, Maggie

Jayner, Joseph

Joyness Adam

vs. { Bills

Joyness heirs.

1896 22nd April filed
L. A. Gay C. C.

J. P. Prince
JAMES L. MCLEMORE,

Attorney-at-Law,

COURTLAND, VIRGINIA.

Everett Wadley Co., Stationers and Printers, Richmond, Va.

To the Honorable Robert R. Prentiss, Judge of the Circuit Court of
Southampton County: Virginia.

Your Complainant, R. D. Moore, Administrator of Sinclair Joyner decd. respectfully represents to the Court the following case:-
That as such administrator, he has in hand for the minor children of said decedent, to-wit, Mary, Maggie and Joseph Joyner, about \$190. each, which he is anxious to dispose of but there being no legally qualified guardian for the said children, he cannot do so; he would further state that the mother of these children, Mary Joyner, is desirous that the funds coming into the hands of the representative of the two younger children, Maggie and Joseph, be invested in a tract of land situated at Williams Cross roads, and owned by J. W. Williams, that ^{the} tract or lot of land has upon it a store house which rents for \$4. per month, and about 7 acres of land which rents for \$15. to \$20. per month, this tract of land can be bought for \$300. and your complainant believes it would be to the interest of the infants to have their money, or so much of the same as is sufficient to pay for the same invested therein; that the only heirs at law of the said infants are, Mary Joyner, mother, Laura L. Mitchell nee Joyner, and Mary Joyner sisters, that said two infants, Maggie and Joseph, who are respectively 12 and 10 years of age, are living with their mother, who has sought out and brought to the attention of the Complainant the investment above herein described.

Your Orator believes and here states, that it would be to the interest of the infants to allow the funds in his hands to be applied to the purchase of the said property, as it would likely pay them a better dividend than loaning the money, and because also their mother has expressed the desire to build a dwelling on the same and live with them the buildings and other improvements placed thereon, coming to the benefit of the owners of the fee. The agreement of said J. W. Williams, to accept the sum of \$300. each for his land as hereinbefore described, is in writing, and is filed in the paper of this cause marked "A".

In tender consideration whereof, and forasmuch as your Complainant is without adequate relief, save in a court of Equity, where all such matters are alone and properly Cognizable, to the end therefore that justice may be done, he prays, that Mary Joyner, widow of Sinclair Joyner deceased, Laura L. Mitchell and John Mitchell her husband, J.W. Williams, and Mary, Maggie and Joseph Joyner the last three infants, may be made parties defendants to this bill, and compelled to answer the same, but answers under oath are waived, that the Administrator, your complainant, may be allowed to invest the said sum of \$300. in the purchase of a home for the two infant children, Maggie and Joseph Joyner, that the offer as made by J.W. Williams, and filed in the papers hereof as exhibit "A" may be accepted and the same approved by the Court, that all proper enquiries may be directed, accounts taken, and all such further special and general relief may be granted your Complainant, as the nature of the case may require, or to equity may seem meet. * Notice is hereby given that the Court will be asked to allow plaintiffs Counsel a reasonable fee for their service in conducting this cause, And your Complainant will ever pray &c.

Prince & McLeure Pp.

* If the Court should not be of the opinion to allow the purchase of the property in accordance with the prayer of the bill, then that the sum of \$190- may be invested in said property taking a deed of trust thereon.

Jayson's Adm.

vs } Statement of Costs.

Jayson's Heirs

Sum. 25. 4 Copies 5², another Sum. 25 = 1.02
5 Copies 65. rect. 18, atty. 10, Dues 18, Rule 50 = 1.61
Entering return 35. fil. Bill 15. fil answer 10, 15 = .65
fil. petition 15. Rule 50, replication 15, = .80
fil. depositions 15. 2 Decrees 1.44 Im costs 40 = 1.99
fil. away papers 25, future costs about 125 = 1.50

Paid Nov. 10th 1896 L. A. Gay bill \$7.57

Shff 2.50

Paid P.M. Landre. 16.50

\$26.57

Pay of B. H. McNamee Com. 5.00

\$31.57

OFFICE OF
J. W. WILLIAMS,
Sheriff of Southampton County.
Lock Box 13.

①
Courtland, Va., April 16

1896

Mr. Williams Sheriff,

The Summons all duly re-
ceived and we acknowledge legal service in
service of both parties

John Mitchell sign
L. L. Mitchell sign
Mary Gayne sign

Commissioner's Office,

Portland, Va., May 5. 1896

To R. D. Moore, Adm. of William Garner dead -
Mary Garner, Laura L. Mitchell & John Mitchell her
husband, J. W. Williams and Mary, Maggie & Joseph
Garner infant by J. M. Webb Jr. Guardian ad litem.

You are hereby notified that I have fixed upon the 12th day of May -
next, if fair, if not, the next fair day thereafter (Sunday excepted), to take and settle, at my office,
the following accounts, to wit:

- 1st What is the value of the tract of land described in the Plaintiffs bill -
- 2nd Whether or not it would be to the interest of the infants, Maggie & Joseph Garner to expend the sum of \$300. of the funds in the hands of R. D. Moore Adm. in the purchase of said lot or tract of land. and whether or not the interest of any party would be violated thereby
- 3rd Any other matter specially stated deemed pertinent by the Commissioner or any party in interest -

required to be taken by a decree of Southampton Circuit Court, rendered at the May term, 1896, in a suit in chancery depending in said Court, in which you are parties, plaintiffs and defendants; at which time and place you are required to attend.

Given under my hand, as Commissioner in Chancery of said Court, the day and year first aforesaid.

B. F. W. Leamore

Commissioner.

To the Sheriff of Southampton County to execute.

Jayner's Admr.
Vs. } Decease
Jayner's Hirs &c.

Entered
Page 83

Virginia:

In vacation, In the Circuit Court of
Southampton County, May 27th, 1896.

Joyner's Administrator,
vs.

Joyner's Heirs, &c.

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the bill filed, Guardian ad litem assigned to the infant defendants at rules, answer of the infant defendants by guardian ad litem regularly appointed at rules, with general replication thereto, upon due and legal notice of plaintiff's intention to apply for this decree in vacation, to all of the defendants, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court doth adjudge, order and decree, That this cause be referred to one of the Commissioners of this Court, who will inquire and report to Court the following:-

- 1st. What is the value of the tract of land described in the plaintiff bill?
- 2nd. Whether or not it would be to the interest of the infants, Maggie and Joseph Joyner, to expend the sum of \$300. of the funds in the hands of R. D. Moore, Administrator in the purchase of the said lot or tract of land, and whether or not the interest of any party would be violated thereby?
- 3rd. Any other matter specially stated deemed pertinent by the Commissioners, or any party interested.

A copy.

Teste: L. A. Gay S. C.

The Depositions of W. A. Blythe and others
taken by B. F. M. Lerner, a Commissioner in
Chancery of the Circuit Court for Southampton County.
on the 12th day of May 1896. Pursuant to Notice herewith
filed - to be read as evidence in the Chancery
Cause of Joyner's Adm^r v Joyner & als:
Present: J. J. Lerner & M. Lerner, Attys for Plffs

The witness W. A. Blythe, being duly sworn, deposes
as follows:

Q: What is your age, residence and occupation.
and do you know the parties to this suit?

A: My age is 52 years, reside in Southampton County,
near Williams X Road. Am a farmer - and
know the parties to the suit.

Q: What is the value of the land in the bill
mentioned. owned by J. M. Williams and situated at
Williams X Road. in Southampton County?

A: I should think \$110.00 a fair price for it as a farm.

Q: Would it, in your opinion, be to the interest
of the infants - Maggie & Joseph Joyner. to set-
aside the sum of \$300.00 of the funds in the hands
of R. D. Moore Adm^r - in the purchase of said
lot?

A: I think it would, if they will keep it up as a
store, and rent it out for that purpose.

Q: Will the interest of any party be violated by such
investment?

A: I think not.

And further This deponent says etc not.

W. A. Blythe
made

The witness Frank Wester, being duly sworn,
deposes as follows:

Q: What is your age, residence and occupation, and
do you know the parties to the suit?

A: My age is 48 years. reside near Williams X Road
in Southampton Co. Am a farmer - and know the
parties to the suit?

Q: Do you know the tract of land in the bill men-
tioned, and herein referred to - and if so, what do
you consider the fair value thereof?

A: As a farm, as think \$110.00 would be a fair price
for it - but as a business stand. and store I think
it worth \$300.00. I would be willing to give \$300.00
for it myself, and I know of other parties who would do
so.

Q: Would it be to the interest of the infants, Maggie &
Joseph Joyner. to have as much as \$300. of their
money, in the hand of R.D. Moore, Adam, invested in
said land?

A: I think so. it will, in my opinion. pay big interest.

Q: Would the interest of any party be violated by such
investment?

A: I think not.

And further this deponent says etc not.

Frank Wester

I consented to
the above interrogatories.

Proct. Feb 1888.

For ad return for infants.

Subscribed and sworn to before me.

R. F. McLemore,

Clerk in Charge

I. J. Denson Pretlow, do affirm and say:-
that I am well acquainted with the land pro-
posed to be bought by the Joyner children at
Williams X Roads, and I consider the same worth
\$300; I know of no other place where they
could invest \$300. to yield them as much revenue
as this property.

I. J. Pretlow

J. H. Rose - being duly sworn - says: I consider the
land in the ~~old~~ mentioned well worth \$300.-- The Store
House, alone, rents for \$48.-- per year.

J. H. Rose

Subscribed before me.

T. J. Mc Lane

Clerk in Charge

Joyner's Admir. J.
r } Report.

Joyner's Steers

1896. May 12. filed

L. A. Gay L.L.

Commissioner's Office.
Courtland Va.

May 12th 1896.

To the Circuit Court of Southampton Co.

Pursuant to a decree of said Court, rendered May 1896. in the cause of "Joyner's Adm'r vs Joyner's Heirs", the undersigned Commissioner reports to Court. that on the 5th day of May 1896. he issued Notice against the Parties to the suit. requiring them to appear before him, at his Office, in Courtland, on the 12th day of May 1896. at which and place, he comes proceed to take the accounts asked for by the aforesaid decree. The Commissioner also issued Subpoenas against Frank Weston and H. A. Rhythe, to appear before him as Witnesses. and said witnesses having appeared. Their depositions taken, subscribed and sworn to. Your Commissioner submits the following reports:

That the tract of land in the Decree and proceedings mentioned. is worth \$300.00. as a residence and business stand. it has a comfortable residence and three houses upon it. but if it was to be used exclusively as a farm, it is worth but little. That it will be to the interest of the Minors, Maggie & Joseph Joyner. to have \$300.00 of their funds invested in this property.

the property is renting for \$60.00 per year.
the store house, alone, rents for \$48.00 per year.
Your Commissioner considers it a good investment.

The depositions of witnesses, the notice of the time and place of taking the account, and a copy of the decree ordering the same, are herewith filed, to which the attention of the Court is directed.

Respectfully Submitted.

B. F. McLenner
Clerk in Chancery.

Commissioner's fees
\$5.00
Ch. Peck

To the Hon. Robert R. Prentiss, Judge of the Circuit Court of South-
ampton County.

In Chancery.

Your petitioner, J. W. Williams begs to represent unto the Court, that
since the institution of a certain chancery cause in the Circuit Court
of Southampton, By R. D. Moore, Administrator &c of Sinclair Joyner decd
for the purpose of investing the sum of \$300. of the funds in his hands
belonging to the infants of Sinclair Joyner, namely: Maggie and Joseph
Joyner, in a tract of land situated at Williams & Roads in this County,
he has qualified as guardian of the said infants, that he has about
\$400. belonging to the said infants, and that he wishes to be allowed to
come in as such guardian, and be entered as a party plaintiff, in the
said cause; that he hereby adopts and joins in all of the allegations
of the bill, and prays that they may be considered as his own, as fully
as though he had been the original party plaintiff in the said bill,
and that all such relief may be granted as the nature of his case may
requite or to equity may seem meet, that the prayers of the said bill
may be granted to your petitioner, as guardian, and that all such general
and special relief may be granted to you ^{petitioner,} as is just and equitable.
And he will ever pray &c

May it please the Court.

J. W. Williams
Guard. of Maggie & Joseph Joyner

*Copy of Joyner's Report
to the Court in 1876*

Exhibit A

Joyner's Admr.

Vs. Petition. of Guardian.

Joyner's Heirs,

1876. Sept. 29. filed.

Joint Answer of Mary, Maggie and Joseph Joyner
vs. Joyner's Administrator
The joint answer of Mary, Maggie and Joseph Joyner, infants and defendant in a certain chancery suit pending in the Circuit Court of Southampton County, styled Joyner's Administrator Vs. Joyner's Heirs, by John N. Sebrell Jr., Guardian ad litem, regularly appointed at rules to defend their interest.

For answer to the said bill of Complaint, the said infants ~~##~~ though their said guardian ad litem, answering say: That being infants they know nothing of their rights and true interest in said suit, they therefore submit their interest to the protection of this Court, and having fully answered, they pray hence to be dismissed with their cost &c.

John N. Sebrell Jr.
Guardian ad litem.

Joyner's Admr.
vs. } Statement of Rules
Joyner's Hirs.

R. D. Moore. Admr. of Sinclair Jeyour, Plaintiff
vs. } In Chancery.

Mary Jeyour widow, Laura L. Mitchell
2d John Mitchell her husband, J. W. -
Williams & Mary, Maggie & Joseph - } Defendants
Jeyour. Last three infants.

1896. 17th. April. Process issued to 2nd Apr. Rules.

1896. 2nd April Rules. Process returned executing as

to adult defendants. Bill and
Ex. filed. J. W. Sebrice Jr.

appointed Gdn. ad litem to
infant defendants. Decree

Nisi as to adult defendants.

1896. 1st. May Rules. Bill Taken for Confessed as

to adult defendants, answer
of Gdn. ad litem filed with

general replication and cause
set for hearing.

Mr acknowledge due legal service of this
notice.

May 6. 1896.

Mary H. Joyner &

Mary H. Joyner.

Launa L. Mitchell

John H. Mitchell

J. H. Mitchell

John as litigant

Return at once

James H. Joyner
vs. J. H. Mitchell.
John H. Joyner.

To, Mary Joyner, Laura L. Mitchell. & John Mitchell her husband J.W. Williams & Maggie Mary and Joseph Joyner, the last ~~these~~ infants by J.N. Sebrell *J* their guardian ad litem.

Take notice that I shall through my attorneys apply to the Honorable Robert R. Prentis Judge of the Circuit Court of Southampton County at his office in Suffolk, Va., on the 27th. day of May 1896, for a decree to be entered in vacation in the chancery cause of Joyner's Administrator et als. Vs. Joyner et als. preparatory to a final decree to be entered therein.

May 6, 1896.

Respectfully,

R. D. Moore, Adm. &c.

By Counsel.

Jaymors by v.c.

vs. } Sam. Inchy.

Jaymors et. als.

1896. 2" April Rules
bis. let.

Prince & McLennan p. 9.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

TO THE SHERIFF OF SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY, GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON

*Mary Joyner, Laura L. Mitchell &
John Mitchell her husband and J. W. Williams*

to appear at the Clerk's Office of our Circuit Court of Southampton County, at the Rules to be holden for the said Court on the 3^d Monday in April 1896 next, to answer a Bill in Chancery exhibited against Them in our said Court by Mary Joyner, Maggie Joyner and Joseph Joyner, infants, who sue by J. B. Prince their next friend.

And this Thing shall in no wise omit under penalty of law. And have then there this writ.

Witness, L. A. GAY, Clerk of our said Court, at the Courthouse, this 6th day of April, 1896, in the 12th year of the Commonwealth.

TESTE:

L. A. Gay

, C. C.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

TO THE SHERIFF OF SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY, GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON

Mary Joyner,

to appear at the Clerk's Office of our Circuit Court of Southampton County, at the Rules to be holden for the said Court on the 3rd Monday in April 1896 next, to answer a Bill in Chancery exhibited against her estate in our said Court by Mary Joyner, Maggie Joyner, and Joseph Joyner, infants, who are by J. B. Finner
their next friend

And this she shall in no wise omit under penalty of law. And have then there this writ.

Witness, L. A. GAY, Clerk of our said Court, at the Courthouse, this 6th day of

April, 1896, in the 120 year of the Commonwealth.

TESTE:

L. A. Gay, C. C.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

TO THE SHERIFF OF SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY, GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON

John Mitchell

to appear at the Clerk's Office of our Circuit Court of Southampton County, at the Rules to be holden for the said Court on the 3rd Monday in April 1896 ~~next~~, to answer a Bill in Chancery exhibited against himself in our said Court by Mary Joyner, Maggie Joyner, 3d Joseph Joyner, infants, who are by J B Prince their next friend.

And this he shall in no wise omit under penalty of law. And have then there this writ.

Witness, L. A. GAY, Clerk of our said Court, at the Courthouse, this 6th day of April, 1896, in the 120 year of the Commonwealth.

TESTE:

L. A. Gay, C. C.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

TO THE SHERIFF OF SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY, GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON

Laura L. Mitchell.

to appear at the Clerk's Office of our Circuit Court of Southampton County, at the Rules to be holden for the said Court on the 3rd Monday in April 1896 next, to answer a Bill in Chancery exhibited against her estate in our said Court by Mary Joyner, Maggie Joyner and Joseph Joyner, infants, who are by J. D. Prince their next friend.

And this she shall in no wise omit under penalty of law. And have then there this writ.

Witness, L. A. GAY, Clerk of our said Court, at the Courthouse, this 6th day of April, 1896, in the 12⁰ year of the Commonwealth.

TESTE:

L. A. Gay., C. C.

Joyner's Adm'r
r } Sp'a

Joyner Jr.

1896. May 12.

Executed by delivering
a copy of this Sum. to
each of the within named
parties defendant

Wm 20/96 J. Williams
Shff

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

TO THE SHERIFF OF SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY, GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON Albert Blythe and Frank Nester.

B. F. McLenore, Clerk

to appear before ~~the Judge~~ of our County Court of Southampton County, at the Courthouse thereof
on the 12th day of May, 1896, to testify and the truth to speak

on behalf of R. D. Moore, Adam Sinclair Joyner
in a certain matter of controversy in our said Court depending and undetermined between _____

R. D. Moore, Adam &
Joyner & others, plaintiff, and
_____, defendant.

And this they shall in no wise omit, under the penalty of the law. And have then there this writ.

Witness, B. F. McLEMORE, ^{Clerk} ~~Clerk~~ of our said Court, at the Courthouse, this 17 day
of April, 1896, in the 120 year of the Commonwealth.

TESTE:

B. F. McLenore, C. C.

Jayner's Adm.

vs. } Sum. In chg.

Jayner et al

1896. 2. April Rules

Cir. Ct.

Specified by de-
=livering a copy of
this Sum. to each
of the within named
parties defendant
April 6th 1896
J. M. Williams
Suff.

(5)

Primer & McLemore p. 7.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

TO THE SHERIFF OF SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY, GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON *Mary Joyner. Widow. Laura L. Mitchell*
and John Mitchell her husband, J. H. Williams & Mary Maggie
of Joseph Joseph Joyner, last three infants.

to appear at the Clerk's Office of our Circuit Court of Southampton County, at the Rules to be
holden for the said Court on the *3rd* Monday in *April* 1896 next, to answer a
Bill in Chancery exhibited against *them* in our said Court by *R. D. Moore, Adm'r.*
of Sinclair Joyner dec'd.

And this *they* shall in no wise omit under penalty of law. And have then there this writ.

Witness, L. A. GAY, Clerk of our said Court, at the Courthouse, this *17th* day of
April, 1896, in the 12th year of the Commonwealth.

TESTE:

L. A. Gay, C. C.

Certificate of
Title by
Prince & Co. Lumber
Company

We hereby Certify, that after ex-
amination of the records of the Clerk's
Office of Southampton County, we are
satisfied that the title to the tract of land
of which description is given in the Bill of
the plaintiffs in the Chancery cause of Joyner's
Administrator vs. Joyner's Heirs, is complete,
and that J. W. Williams can convey to the infants
Maggie & Joseph Joyner good title thereto, same
being held by him -

Dec. 21. 1896.

Prince & Lemoine
Attorneys

Johneis Adams
vs 3

Johneis Heirs

Report of J. M. Adams
son

To the Hon Robt: R. Reuther Judge of the
Circuit Court of Southampton.

I would respectfully report to your Honor
that pursuant to a decree of this Court
entered Sept 29. 1896, in the chancery suit
therein depending, styled *Joyner's Admin*
vs *Joyner's Heirs &c*, I have ^{invested} \$500 of the
personal Estate of my two wards, Maggie
& Joseph Joyner in the purchase of the lot
of land lying at Williams' Cross Roads
in Southampton County; before making
the investment of the said sum of money
I got from Messrs. Price & McNamee, atty
a certificate to the effect that the title to
the said property is clear and perfect, which
I return with my report. I have made
a deed for said property, nothing further
remains to be done in this suit.

Respectfully Submitted,

J. W. Williams
Gon't.

Mar 25. 1897

Joyner's Admr.

Vs. Decree.

Joyner's Heirs.

1896 May 24th Enter this,

Robert S. Jones

*Entered
page 83*

1150 (121) 11507

Virginia.

In Vacation, In the Circuit Court of Southampton County, May 27th 1896.

Joyner's Administrator,

Vs.

Joyner's Heirs. &c.

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the bill filed, Guardian ad litem assigned to the infant defendants at rules, ^{- regularly appointed at rules -} answer of the infant defendants by guardian ad litem, with general replication thereto, upon due and legal notice of plaintiff's intention to apply for this decree in vacation, to all of the defendants, and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court doth adjudge, order and decree, that this cause be referred to one of the Commissioners of this court, who will enquire and report to Court the following:-

- 1st. What is the value of the tract of land described in the plaintiff bill?
- 2nd. Whether or not it would be to the interest of the infants, Maggie and Joseph Joyner, to expend the sum of \$300. of the funds in the hands of R.D. Moore, Administrator in the purchase of the said lot or tract of land, and whether or not the interest of any party would be violated thereby?
- 3rd. Any other matter specially stated deemed pertinent by the Commissioner, or any party interested.

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court
of Southampton County.

Robert S. Huntis

Joyner's Admr et als.

Vs. Decree.

Joyner's Heirs.

Enter this, September 29, 1896.

Robert H. Mentis.

Entered Sage 106

Joyner's Admr.

Vs.

Joyner's Heirs,

This day this cause came on again to be heard upon the papers formerly read, upon the report of Commissioner B. F. McLeMore filed May 12th. 1896, to which no exceptions have been taken, upon the petition of J. W. Williams, Guardian of Maggie and Joseph Joyner, filed this day by leave of the Court, asking to be made party plaintiff, in this cause, and was argued by Counsel. *the prayer of the petition is granted* On consideration whereof, and it appearing to the Court that it would be to the interest of the infants, Maggie and Joseph Joyner, to have \$300. of the funds in the hands of their guardian, invested in the tract of land lying at Williams x Roads, containing about seven acres, and more particularly described in the Plaintiff's bill, doth adjudge, order and decree that J. W. Williams, Guardian of the said wards, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to invest \$300. of the personal estate of his said two wards in the purchase of the said real estate, upon the execution to them of a good and perfect deed conveying the said real estate to them, and report to Court. But before he shall invest any money by virtue hereof, he shall have a certificate from Messrs. Prince & McLeMore Attorneys, to the effect that the title to the said property is clear and perfect. And said Guardian shall pay to said Attorneys a fee of \$25. for conducting this cause, out of any funds in his hands belonging to said infants.

Joyner Adm.
or 3 Deeds

Joyner Heirs

1897 Mar 26

Inter this,

Robert S. Hunt.

Jayne Adams,
or 3 Deeds

Jayne Heirs

This day this Cause came on to be again
heard on the papers formerly read, the report
of Mr. William Friedman of Mappin &
Jos. Payne, filed during the term and
not argued by Counsel. On considera-
tion whereof, the said report is con-
firmed, no exceptions being filed to same,
and nothing further appearing ne-
cessary to be done in this Cause,
the same is ordered to be removed
from the docket.